

## **Development of an Integrated Water Monitoring Programme** and development of associated databases supporting the implementation of the Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC in Cyprus

## **Contract No. 46/2005**

Rationale of WFD monitoring network options

Identification of key issues

Suggestions and recommendations



### Nicosia, Monday 16/10/2006











I.A.CO Ltd Environmental and Water Consultants



civil and Environmenta Engineers & Scientist

# Today's Agenda

70101

# Monday 16/10/2006

## Scope of 16/10/2006 presentation

- 1. Review of basic and extended approach as proposed in Activity 2.2 report
- 2. Review of the issues discussed in the framework of the working groups after the delivery of 2.2 report
- 3. Role of WFD monitoring in relation to general WFD implementation as well as other water related Directives
- 4. Identification of key issues for Cyprus
- 5. **Present recommendations to the client**
- 6. Discuss all the above

## <u>aims</u>

- 1. Assist in deciding upon the selection of one option
- 2. Assist in mobilization of forces for future needs and requirements

# **Programme of the day**

Par	t 1 (8	:30-9	:15)													
	ŴĒ	D Artio	cle 8 i	monite	orina I	oroara	amme									
		WFD	) timet	able		<u> </u>										
		WFD	) and o	other v	vater re	elated	directi	ves								
		WFD	) progr	amme	of me	asure	S									
		Wate	er relat	ted dir	ectives	s incor	porate	d in de	esignin	g of C	yprus	monito	ring pi	rogram	ime	
		Disc	ussion													
Par	t 2 (9	:15-1	0:15)													
	, GW	Rs ma	, nitori	ina nr	oaran	me										
	000	Moth		av of c	logiani	na										
				yy or c	wo an	oroach	100									
		Cost			wu api	rovici		roopp	ol dom	ondo						
		Kov	asses	Smen	t anu p	10151	unai pe	:150111	ei uen	lanus						
		Dieg	issues													
		DISC	ussion													
Par	t 3 (1	0:15-	10:45	5)												
	Coa	stal V	VBs m	nonito	rina p	roara	mme									
		Meth	nodolo	av of c	lesiani	na										
		Over	view	of the t	wo api	oroact	nes									
		Cost	asses	smen	t and n	rovisi	onal ne	ersonn	el dem	ands						
		Key	issues													
		Disc	ussion													

# **Programme of the day (2)**

## Part 4 (11:00-11:45)

- River and lake WBs monitoring programme
  - Methodology of designing
  - Overview of the two approaches
  - Cost assessment and provisional personnel demands
  - Key issues

## Part 5 (11:45-13:00)

- Cost and personnel issues
- Summary of important key issues
- Recommendations for the client
- Discussion on parts 4 and 5

#### WFD TIMETABLE



#### WFD TIMETABLE



#### WFD AND OTHER WATER RELATED DIRECTIVES

#### DIRECTIVES THAT WILL BE REPEALED BY WFD IN PHASES:

**UNTIL 2007** 

 ✓ SURFACE WATER ABSTRACTION DIRECTIVE – 75/440/EEC
 ✓ EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON SURFACE WATER DECISION –
 77/795/EEC
 ✓ SURFACE WATER ABSTRACTION MEASUREMENT / ANALYSIS
 DIRECTIVE – 79/869/EEC UNTIL 2013

✓ FRESHWATER FISH DIRECTIVE –
 78/659/EEC
 ✓ SHELLFISH WATERS DIRECTIVE –
 79/923/EEC
 ✓ GROUNDWATER DIRECTIVE –
 80/68/EEC – TO BE REPLACED BY
 NEW (NOW IN DRAFT)
 ✓ DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES
 DIRECTIVE 76/464/EEC

#### **DIRECTIVES THAT WILL REMAIN IN PARALLEL WITH WFD:**

✓ Bathing waters Directive 76/160/EEC and the new Directive 2006/7/EC
 ✓ Nitrates from agricultural sources 91/676/EEC
 ✓ Urban Waste Water Treatment 91/271/EEC
 ✓ quality of water intended for human consumption 98/83/EC

#### CONTRIBUTION OF OTHER DIRECTIVES IN WFD PROGRAMME OF MEASURES (1<sup>ST</sup> RBMP – END 2009)



### WATER RELATED DIRECTIVES INCORPORATED IN DESIGNING OF MONITORING PROGRAMME OF CYPRUS

DIRECTI	VES	FULLY INCORPORATED	PARTIALLY INCORPORATED	NOT INCORPORATED
SURFACE DRINKING WATER A 75/440/I AS REPLACED BY WFD ANN	BSTRACTION DIRECTIVE – EEC IEX V §1.3.5 FROM 2007	✓ AS SURVEILLANCE - ADDITIONAL MONITORING		
QUALITY OF WATER INTENDED 98/83/I	FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION EC			✓ NOT RELEVANT (REFERS TO TAP WATER QUALITY MONITORING)
EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON – 77/795	I SURFACE WATER DECISION EEC	✓		
FRESHWATER FISH DIRI	ECTIVE – 78/659/EEC		✓	
DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES	DIRECTIVE 76/464/EEC	✓		
GROUNDWATER DIRECTIVE – 80 BY NEW (NOW	)/68/EEC – TO BE REPLACED IN DRAFT)	✓		
BATHING WATERS DIRECTIVE 2006/7/	76/160/EEC and DIRECTIVE EC			✓
NITRATES FROM AGRICULTU	RAL SOURCES 91/676/EEC		$\checkmark$	
URBAN WASTE WATER	FOR THE DESIGNATION OF SENSITIVE ZONES		×	
TREATMENT 91/271	NOT RELEVANT (REFERS TO EFFLUENT OF WWTP)			$\checkmark$

For integration reasons in the action plan (Activity 2.4) every effort will be made to include additional to WFD parameters for other Directives in samples taken in order to avoid double sampling at the same station, at the same period

•A "**groundwater level monitoring**" network to assess risks of failing to achieve good groundwater quantitative status (With estimates of recharge and appropriate conceptual model / understanding for quantitative status).

## A "chemical status monitoring":

"Surveillance":	"Operational":
<ul> <li>Assess characterization and risks of failing to achieve good chemical status</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Status of GWB being "AT RISK"</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Status of GWB, not being at risk</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significant / sustained presence of upward trends of any pollutant</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Pollutants long term trends (natural and due to human activities)</li> </ul>	

## WFD (Annex V) Objectives of GWT monitoring

- reliable assessment of quantitative status
- supplement /validate impact assessment procedure
- assess long term trends
- establish chemical status of GWBs "at risk"
- establish trends in pollutants, and,
- assess the reversal of such trends

## **Key design principles of programmes**

- On the basis of the results of the WFD Annex II characterisation and risk assessment procedure.
- Amount of monitoring to be proportional to the difficulty in judging:
  - (a) status of a groundwater body,
    (b) presence of adverse trends, and
    (c) the implications of errors in such judgements

## Basis of design / operation of monitoring programmes for each GWB

- Objectives applying to it
- Its characteristics
- Existing level of understanding of GWB
- Type, extent and range of the pressures on the GWB
- Confidence in assessment of risk from pressures on GWB, and
- Level of confidence required in the assessment of risk.

## **Design Considerations:** 1. QUANTITATIVE monitoring

**WHAT**: Mainly groundwater level (but also flow of springs, river base-flows, abstraction and precipitation when required for understanding of GWB system).

**WHERE**: Depends on needs for understanding and predictions it provides. Spatial variability in GWB flow system or the pressures on it, control the density of monitoring points. WFD requires level monitoring effort to be focused on GWBs "at risk".

**WHEN:** Monitoring frequency to allow short- and long-term level variations to be detected. Variability of groundwater level or rapid response to pressures requires higher frequency.

## Design Considerations: 2. SURVEILLANCE monitoring (As per WFD Annex II characterisation and risk assessment)

**WHAT**:  $O_2$ , pH, NO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub> and conductivity (Additional per purpose, pressures and risk assessments).

**WHEN**: Surveillance for each RBM Plan (6 years).

• No minimum duration is specified. For first RBM Plan, MS with extensive GWB networks may only need a short period of surveillance to help design operational monitoring programmes. Frequency of monitoring as per understanding of GWB system, characteristics and understanding of fate/ behaviour of pollutants. At least once a year for trend assessment.

## Design Considerations: 3. OPERATIONAL monitoring (must be on the basis of the RISK assessment and refinement from surveillance)

- **WHERE**: Operational monitoring is exclusively on GWBs at risk. Sites based on GWB system, key pressures – at Risk;
- **WHAT**: Indicators of pollutants causing the GWB to be at risk. Generally, both core and selective determinants will be required at each site.
- WHEN: Sampling for periods between surveillance to detect impacts, but at a minimum of once per annum (suggested quarterly to annual). To continue until GWB be no longer at poor status or at risk (adequate data demonstrating reversal of trends).

Grouped into 20 GWBs (lithology, hydraulic characteristics, pressures and importance).

GWBs at RISK of not meeting WFD quality objectives are 14 +Troodos based on:

- •deficient water balance
- •pressure due urban population-sewage

•Agricultural activity pressures - pollution due to nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus), oxygen demanding compounds (BOD, COD) and pesticides.

## JUSTIFICATION FOR SELECTION OF SITES, PARAMETERS AND FREQUENCY:

## **QUANTITATIVE PROGRAMME**

**SITES:** Overpumping, Artificial Recharge, Sea Intrusion, not a well defined aquifer system, Karstification,

**PARAMETERS:** Overpumping, Artificial Recharge, Sea Intrusion, not a well defined aquifer system, Karstification, ecosystem

**FREQUENCY:**Type of aquifer (phreatic – semiconfined – confined –karstic), permeability

## SURVEILLANCE AND OPERATIONAL

SITES AND PARAMETERS: Sea Intrusion, Water Supply, degree of Vulnerability, Diffuse pollution, Urbanization, Agriculture,Industrial, Artificial Recharge (treated effluent), Karstification, natural high elements (B, SO4, Chloride, F, Mg) FREQUENCY: Type of aquifer (phreatic – semiconfined –

confined -karstic), permeability

## GROUNDWATER BODIES MONITORING PROGRAMME

EXT		ORING PROGRA	MME		B/	ASIC MONITORIN	G PROGRAMM	E		
	Quantitative	Surveillance	Operational			Quantitative	Surveillance	Operation		
No of sites	96	96	81		No of sites	78	78	63		
ON AVERAGE EACH SITE CORRESPONDS TO ABOUT 56km <sup>2</sup> OF QUIFER AREA (67 km <sup>2</sup> IN OPERATIONAL)					ON AVERAGE EACH SITE CORRESPONDS TO ABOUT 69km <sup>2</sup> OF AQUIFER AREA (86 km <sup>2</sup> IN OPERATIONAL)					
			<u>GENERAL F</u>	PR	RINCIPLES:					
WFD REQUIRE BETTER REPRE BETTER REPRE ONITORING SIT FOCUSED ON A	MENTS CSENTATION OF G CSENTATION OF V FES PER GWB AS MANY OF THE	GWBs VB TYPES THREE EXISTING MONITC	OR MORE DRING STATIONS	2	/ WFD REQUIRE / ADEQUATE RI / ADEQUATE RI	EMENTS EPRESENTATION OF EPRESENTATION OF	F GWBs F WB TYPES			

WFD REQUIREMENTS	
BETTER REPRESENTATION OF GWBs	✓ WFD REQUIREMENTS
BETTER REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES THREE OR MORE	✓ ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION OF GWBs
DNITORING SITES PER GWB	✓ ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES
FOCUSED ON AS MANY OF THE EXISTING MONITORING STATIONS	
POSSIBLE	✓ AT LEAST THREE MONITORING SITES PER GWB
SITES FOCUSSED ON 'LOCAL' MONITORING OF LEVELS AND FLOWS	
COSYSTEMS.	✓ FOCUSED ON AS MANY OF THE EXISTING MONITORING STATION
CHANGE OF GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION (INTRUSION),	AS POSSIBLE
INFALL, RECHARGE	✓ SITES SUFFICIENT TO VALIDATE THE GWB SYSTEM
	✓ WATER LEVELS, SPRING FLOWS, RIVER BASE FLOWS WHEN
HIGHER FREQUENCY OF MONITORING	GROUNDWATER MAIN SUPPLIER
	FREQUENCY SUFFICIENT TO DISTINGUISH SHORT- AND LONG-
SURVEILLANCE, SAME AS FOR BASIC BUT WITH MORE POINTS FOR	TERM VARIATIONS
VBS AT RISK AND THOSE NOT AT RISK. AT LEAST 3 MONITORING	✓ SURVEILLANCE SITES ON BASIS OF GWB SYSTEM –FATE OF
INTS PER GWB	POLLUTANTS. AT LEAST 3 POINTS IN THE GWB 'NOT AT RISK', WIT
	AT LEAST ONE ADDITIONAL IN REMAINING BODIES IN THE GROUP
SUITES OF INORGANIC PARAMETERS TO PROVIDE DATA FOR QA	✓ FOR BODIES 'AT RISK' LOCATIONS SHOULD IDEALLY COINCIDE
RPOSES AND INFORMATION ON THE NATURAL QUALITY	WITH OPERATIONAL MONITORING POINTS.
ASELINE) OF GROUNDWATER AND TEMPERATURE. FURTHER	✓ OXYGEN CONTENT, PH VALUE, CONDUCTIVITY, NITRATE,
NERIC INDICATOR SPECIES ARE ALSO ADDED TO SUPPLEMENT	AMMONIUM, OTHER PARAMETERS INDICATIVE OF THE RISKS TO
E RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS.	AND IMPACTS ON GROUNDWATER FROM PRESSURES IDENTIFIED
	CHARACTERIZATION PROCESS.
OPERATIONAL SAME AS FOR BASIC	✓ SUFFICIENT POINTS IN BODIES OR GROUPS OF BODIES 'AT RISK'
	TO RELIABLY CLASSIFY THE BODIES
ENOUGH TO OBTAIN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS FOR	✓ FREQUENCY ENOUGH TO OBTAIN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF
LIABLE STATISTICAL EVALUATIONS AND EVALUATION OF	OBSERVATIONS FOR RELIABLE STATISTICAL EVALUATIONS AND
EASURES EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION OF MEASURES EFFECTIVENESS

#### **GROUNDWATER BODIES MONITORING PROGRAMME**

EXTENTED	MONITORING	PROGRAMME
----------	------------	-----------

QUANTITATIVE (96 sites)								
1: Monthly	43 sites							
2: Quarterly	32 sites							
3: Biannually	21 sites							
Number of visits	686							
SURVEILLANCE (96 sites)								
1: Quarterly	13 sites							
2: Biannually	68 sites							
3: Annually	15 sites							
Number of visits	203							
OPERATIONAL (81	sites)							
1: Monthly	13 sites							
2: Quarterly	62 sites							
3: Biannually	6 sites							
Number of visits	416							

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

QUANTITATIVE (78 sites)							
1: Monthly	24 sites						
2: Quarterly	30 sites						
3: Biannually	24 sites						
Number of visits	456						
SURVEILLANCE (78 sites)							
1: Quarterly	7 sites						
2: Biannually	34 sites						
3: Annually	37 sites						
Number of visits	133						
OPERATION	AL (63 sites)						
1: Monthly	7 sites						
2: Quarterly	31 sites						
3: Biannually	25 sites						
Number of visits	258						

**ABOUT 55% MORE VISITS IN EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME** 

## **Summary comparison of the two Monitoring Programmes**

	Exte	nded Monit	oring	Basic Monitoring			
	Quantitative	Surveillance	Operational	Quantitative	Surveillance	Operational	
	•		SITES			•	
Quantitative	96			78			
Qualitative		96	81		78	63	
Total		96			78		
GWBs	19 19		15	19	19	15	
Total		19		19			
		No. O	F PARAMETE	RS			
Quantitative	3			3			
Core		5	5		5	5	
Trace elem.		11	11		11	11	
Ionic anal.		4	4		4	4	
Pesticides		9	9		9	9	
Priority sub.+		14	14		14	14	
Total 1	3 43		43	3	43	43	
No. of data	800	4000	600	600	33000	600	
No of samples	203	203	413	137	137	274	

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO GROUNDWATER PROGRAMS

- The Extended approach demands 34% more samplings compared to the Basic one.
- Sampling parameters are same for both approaches and are based on pressures exerted on groundwaters.
- Sampling frequency is higher in the Extended Program for some of the water bodies, based on the types of pressures they are subject to, their risk status, their protection status and their general importance as aquifers.

## Cost factors for the two options of Groundwater monitoring (thousand CY£)

Ana	lysis		Sampling	g	Ну	TOTAL							
Surv.	rv. Oper. Surv. Oper.				Surv.	Oper.	Quant.	(thousand CY£)					
EXTENDED													
187.3	407.2	19.8 35.9 56.5		56.5	-	-	8.9	715.7					
BASIC													
119.8	253.9	13.0	25.2	39.9	-	-	6.1	457.9					

#### **GROUNDWATER BODIES MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **EXTENTED MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **INCORPORATION OF EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMMES**

DIRECTIVE OR DECISION	ST	QE	FR		DIRECTIVE OR DECISION	ST	QE	FR
DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES - 76/464/EEC Directive <b>80.68/EEC</b> – GW Daughter Directive to be finalized end of 2006	EROUS SUBSTANCES - F F F F 4/EEC ive 80.68/EEC – GW iter Directive to be finalized		DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES -76/464/EEC In 1980 the protection of groundwater was taken out of 76/464/EEC (pollution by certain	F	т	F		
NITRATES – 91/676/EEC Detection (N) (1 year monitoring), (NVZs) CGAP, Action Programs within NVZ, National monitoring and reporting	Ρ	F	Ρ		dangerous substances) and regulated under the Directive <b>89/68/EEC</b> on the protection of groundwater against pollution caused by certain dangerous substances (disposal of waste substances)			
every 4 years when <25 mg/l or 8 years when no pressures. Assessment of Action Programs impact, Revision of NVZs and Action Programs					NITRATES – 91/676/EEC URBAN WASTE WATER TREATMENT – 91/271/EEC FOR THE DESIGNATION OF SENSITIVE ZONES	<b>Р</b>	<b>F</b> P	<b>Р</b>
URBAN WASTE WATER TREATMENT – 91/271/EEC FOR THE DESIGNATION OF SENSITIVE ZONES	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ		No additional specific monitoring criteria for GWBs by WFD for Drinking Water Protected Areas.	F	F	F
Drinking Water Protected Areas	F	F	F		Operational networks are to be supplemented by monitoring programmes required for			

#### ADJUSTMENT OF SITES IN GROUNDWATER MONITORING

After a series of meetings with the WDD and the GSD, at the presence of PM Team Representative the following most important issues were raised with regards to the GWT monitoring options:

- Preferably and where possible, existing boreholes should be used
- For the case of qualitative monitoring, existing boreholes being pumped on a steady basis (i.e. Government, domestic supply / irrigation) should be selected.
- For the case of quantitative, separate non pumping boreholes, near the ones of the qualitative monitoring but out of their interference area (i.e. GSD Boreholes with automatic recording) should be preferred.
- Where selection of existing boreholes is not possible, install new pumps on government boreholes – use of a portable generator
- Where government boreholes cannot be utilized, drill new boreholes

Changes per GWB follow next:

#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_1: KOKKINOCHORIA (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



## GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_2: ARADIPPOU GYPSUM EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS





$\square$	Proposed	WFD	Monitoring	Network	Sites
-----------	----------	-----	------------	---------	-------

PROPOSED WFD NETWORK SITES				
	PROACTIVE	CONSERVATIVE		
QUANTITATIVE	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3		
SURVEILLANCE	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3		
OPERATIONAL	N/A	N/A		

٠

٠

Ŧ

Δ

T

## KITI - PERVOLIA AND TREMITHIOS RIVERBED (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS

Ø



#### LEGEND

- Water Level and Quality of Groundwater WDD
- Water Flow and Quality of Springs WDD
- Water Level and Quality of Groundwater GSD
- A National Quality Monitoring Network GSD
- Directive 91/676/EEC Nitrates Pollution GSD
  - Proposed WFD Monitoring Network Sites

PROPOSED WFD NETWORK SITES			
	PROACTIVE	CONSERVATIVE	
QUANTITATIVE	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 4	
SURVEILLANCE	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 4 3 <b>–</b>	
OPERATIONAL	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, , 4	

## SOFTADES - ZYGI - COASTAL PLAIN AND RIVERBED (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_5: MARONI GYPSUM EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



# LEGEND ◆ Water Level and Quality of Groundwater - WDD ◆ Water Flow and Quality of Springs - WDD ▼ Water Level and Quality of Groundwater - GSD ▲ National Quality Monitoring Network - GSD ▼ Directive 91/676/EEC - Nitrates Pollution - GSD

#### PROPOSED WFD NETWORK SITES

Proposed WFD Monitoring Network Sites

	PROACTIVE	CONSERVATIVE
QUANTITATIVE	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3
SURVEILLANCE	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3
OPERATIONAL	N/A	N/A

 $\oslash$ 





Move site to beginning of Delta (possibly Hydr. No. 861?) to avoid possible permanent sea intrusion

•

2km






#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_11: PAFOS COASTAL PLAIN AND RIVERBEDS (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



## GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_12: LETYMVOU - GIOLOU GYPSUM EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



0

## **LEGEND**

- Water Level and Quality of Groundwater WDD
- Water Flow and Quality of Springs WDD
- Water Level and Quality of Groundwater GSD
- National Quality Monitoring Network GSD
- Directive 91/676/EEC Nitrates Pollution GSD
- Proposed WFD Monitoring Network Sites

## PROPOSED WFD NETWORK SITES

	PROACTIVE	CONSERVATIVE
QUANTITATIVE	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3
SURVEILLANCE	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3
OPERATIONAL	N/A	N/A

10km

## EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS

## LEGEND

- Water Level and Quality of Groundwater WDD
- Water Flow and Quality of Springs WDD
- Water Level and Quality of Groundwater GSD
- A National Quality Monitoring Network GSD
- ▼ Directive 91/676/EEC Nitrates Pollution GSD
- Proposed WFD Monitoring Network Sites

PROPOSED WFD NETWORK SITES						
PROACTIVE CONSERVATIVE						
QUANTITATIVE	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 3,				
SURVEILLANCE	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 3,				
OPERATIONAL	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 3, 4				



#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_14: ANDROLIKOU LIMESTONES (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS





#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_16: PYRGOS (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_17: CENTRAL AND EASTERN MESAORIA (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_18: LEFKARA-PACHNA FORMATIONS EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



#### GROUNDWATER BODY CY\_19: TROODOS AREA (TROODOS IGNEOUS MASSIF AQUIFERS) (AT RISK) EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMS & OUTLINE OF WFD MONITORING NETWORK OPTIONS



## SOME FINAL REMARKS

Samples obtained under the WFD monitoring programs and coinciding both in location and timing with any other requirement of any other Directive then these samples should serve the other Directives as well.

The WFD requires the establishment of monitoring programmes covering groundwater quantitative status, chemical status and the assessment of significant, long-term pollutant trends resulting from human activity.

These programmes do not and should not be thought as replacing any other National Water Resources Monitoring Programmes. The WFD monitoring programmes are indicative of trends and serve to evaluate the status of groundwater bodies. Day to day management plans and water resource assessment that would enable their sustained use require specialized monitoring programmes far denser and far more comprehensive. These should be designed and implemented on the basis of other National Objectives.

No of CWBs	No of WBs at risk	No of WBs Need further assessment	No of WB types
25	1	3	3

## Methodology of Design

**INPUT** 

WFD requirements, Guidance document no. 7 "Monitoring under the Water Framework Directive", Existing monitoring networks, Special conditions of Cyprus, Article 5&6 reports (Typology, Pressures), information collected for the FCR report



EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME				ВА	SIC MONITOR	ING PROGI	RAMME	
Extended representation of WBs (size, distribution, geografy, ) Extended representation of WBs types – adequate grouping codring to typology for type CW1			ig i	<ul> <li>Adequate repetc.)</li> <li>Adequate repetced and the second se</li></ul>	presentation o presentation o vpe CW1	f WBs (size, f WBs types	distribution, geogra	
		Full representati	ion of "at risk"	WBs				
Full representation of "need furth		rther	assessment"	WBs				
All biological, hydromorphological			ical 8	al & general physicochemical QE				
<ul> <li>All PS discharged &amp; other pollutar quantities</li> <li>Frequency enough to obtain adequire</li> </ul>			utants lequa	s discharged in ate number of e	n significant observations f	or		
		reliable statistical	evaluations an	d eva	aluation of mea	asures effectiv	eness	
			-		-			
	Total	Surveillance	Operational			Total	Surveillan	ce Operational
No of sites	12/25 W	Bs 11/25 WBs	1/25 WBs		No of sites	9/25 WBs	8/25 WBs	s 1/25 WBs

#### EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

**REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES** 

#### SURVEILLANCE MONITORING PROGRAMME

TYPES OF WBs			TYPES OF WBs	
CW1- Hard intermediate moderately exposed	3/8		CW1- Hard intermediate moderately exposed	1/8
CW2- Sand-gravel intermediate moderately exposed	2/13		CW2- Sand-gravel intermediate moderately exposed	2/13
CW3-Hard shallow moderately exposed 1/4 CW3-Hard shallow moderately exposed				1/4
Need further assessm	ent covera <u>c</u>	ge	3 OUT OF 3	
Natural trends – reference conditions	;		Natural trends – reference condition	s
CW1- Hard intermediate moderately exposed	3/8		<b>CW1-</b> Hard intermediate moderately exposed	1/8
CW2- Sand-gravel intermediate moderately exposed	2/13		CW2- Sand-gravel intermediate moderately exposed	2/13
CW3-Hard shallow moderately exposed	1/4	CW3-Hard shallow moderately exposed		

EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME		BASI		ING PROGRAMME
	REPRESENTATIO	ON OF WB TYPES		
	OPERATIONAL MONI	TORING PROGRAM	MME	
				_
	TYPES OF V	Bs AT RISK		
	CW1- Hard intermediate m exposed	oderately	-	
	CW2- Sand-gravel intermer moderately exposed	diate	1/1	
	CW3-Hard shallow moderate	tely exposed	-	

EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME		BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME		
DIRECTIVE OR INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION	Site	Quality Elements	Frequency	
BATHING WATERS 76/160/EEC AND 2006/7/EC	No	No	No	
DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES -76/464/EEC	Full	Full	Full	
MEDPOL – Phase III	No	No	No	

#### **EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME**

**BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME** 

#### **PROVISIONAL COST IN CP**

	SURVEILLANCE	OPERATIONAL
ANALYSIS	85.752	10.014
SAMPLING	17.840	1.868
TOTAL	103.592	11.882

	SURVEILLANCE	OPERATIONAL
ANALYSIS	59.070	10.014
SAMPLING	12.236	1.868
TOTAL	71.306	11.882

#### <u>NOTE</u>

COST DOES NOT INCLUDE THE COST OF VESSEL CREW

OPERATIONAL MONITORING IS REQUIRED EVERY YEAR OF THE 6 YEA<mark>R RBMP MONITORING CYCLE</mark>

SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED ONCE EVERY 6 YEARS OF THE RBMP MO<mark>NITORING CYCLE</mark>

#### INDICATIVE PERSONNEL NEEDED

SAMPLING	2-4*
ANALYSIS – INITIAL EVALUATION	1 CHEM – 2 BIOL**
SENIOR ( FINAL ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT)	1-2

\*VESSEL CREW IS NOT INCLUDED

\*\*BIOL MIGHT BE REQUIRED TO BE PRESENT IN SAMPLING AT LEAST AT THE EARLY STAGES OF PROGRAMME IT IS ESTIMATED THAT COMPARED TO THE EXTENDED AN OVERALL REDUCTION RANGING FROM 15-30% COULD BE ACHIEVED DEPENDING MAINLY ON THE POSSIBILITY OF MANAGING EFFICIENTLY "PEAK DEMAND" PERIODS

EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME	BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME
BQ	Es
Invertebrate fauna: Proposed – Intercalibration Exercise	1. Invertebrate fauna: Proposed – Intercalibration Exercis
Angiosperms: Proposed – To be tried ( <i>Posidonia oceanica)</i>	2. Angiosperms: Proposed – To be tried ( <i>Posidonia</i> oceanica)
Macroalgae : Proposed – To be tried	3. Macroalgae : Proposed – To be tried
Phytoplankton (Chlorophyll-a) : Proposed	4. Phytoplankton (Chlorophyll-a) : Proposed

### Main Working Group results

- ✓ Agreement on the followed methodology
- ✓ Possible change in the proposed sampling site for heavy metals, it might lead to an additional site
- ✓ Possible change in the proposed sampling site for Posidonia oceanica
- ✓ DFMR willing to adopt the basic approach

No of Catchments	No of RWBs	No of W <mark>Bs at risk</mark>	No of WBs Need further assessment	No of WB types
47 (under govermental control)	216	46	13	3
	Me	thodology of Des	ign	

WFD requirements, Guidance document no. 7 "Monitoring under the Water Framework Directive", Existing monitoring networks, Special conditions of Cyprus, Article 5&6 reports (Typology, Pressures), information collected for the FCR report

INPUT



✓ FREQUENCY AS DEFINED BY WFD AND GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME	BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME
EXTENDED REPRESENTATION OF WBs(size, distribution, eografy, etc.) EXTENDED REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES, LIMITED GROUPING FOR TYPES 1 AND 3 LIMITED GROUPING OF "NEED FURTHER ASSESSMENT" AND AT RISK" WBs AT LEAST ONE MONITORING SITE IN EACH OF THE 47 CATCHMENTS – SUB-BASINS 3 BQEs EXPECTED TO BE APPLICABLE IN CYPRUS CONDITIONS ARE CHOSEN TO BE MONITORED	<ul> <li>ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION OF WBs (size, distribution, geografy, etc.)</li> <li>ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES, EXTENDED GROUPING FOR TYPES 1 AND 3</li> <li>EXTENDED GROUPING OF "NEED FURTHER ASSESSMENT AND "AT RISK" WBs</li> <li>AT LEAST ONE MONITORING SITE IN CATCHMENTS BIGGE THAN 100km<sup>2</sup> (=24Monitoring Stations)</li> <li>1 BQE IMPLEMENTED IN INTERCALIBRATION EXERCISE IS CHOSEN TO BE MONITORED</li> </ul>

✓ FOCUSED ON AS MANY OF THE EXISTING MONITORING STATIONS AS POSSIBLE

✓ DUE TO LACK OF BQEs DATA SERIES ALL INTERCALIBRATION SITES WERE ADOPTED (to be discussed)

✓ QE SELECTION ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE DIRECTIVE EXCEPT THE BQES

✓ ALL PS THAT ARE DISCHARGED AND OTHER DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES IN SIGNIFICANT PRESENCE

✓ FREQUENCY ENOUGH TO OBTAIN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS FOR RELIABLE STATISTICAL EVALUATIONS AND EVALUATION OF MEASURES EFFECTIVENESS

	Total	Surveillance	Operational	
No of sites	88	59	32	
ON AVERAGE EACH STATION CORRESPONDS TO ABOUT <b>33km</b> OF RIVER LENGTH				

	Total	Surveillance	Operational	
No of sites	70	44	26	
ON AVERAGE EACH STATION CORRESPONDS TO ABOUT <b>43km</b> OF RIVER LENGTH				

#### EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

**REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES** 

#### SURVEILLANCE MONITORING PROGRAMME

TYPES OF WBs		
1: small rain volume with non- continuous flow2!		
2: large rain volume with continuous flow 29		
3: large rain volume with non- continuous flow	23%	
Need further assessment coverage 62%		

TYPES OF WBs	
1: small rain volume with non- continuous flow	15%
2: large rain volume with continuous flow	29%
3: large rain volume with non- continuous flow	19%
	- 494

Need further assessment coverage

54%

Natural trends – Re	eference Conditi	ons
1: small rain volume with r flow	ion-continuous	4
2: large rain volume with o	ontinuous flow	4
3: large rain volume with r flow	on-continuous	4

#### EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES**

#### **OPERATIONAL MONITORING PROGRAMME**

TYPES OF WBs AT RISK		
1: small rain volume with non-continuous flow	2/3	
2: large rain volume with continuous flow	1/1	
3: large rain volume with non- continuous flow	29/55	
WBs AT RISK COVERAGE	57%	

TYPES OF WBs AT RISK		
1: small rain volume with non- continuous flow	2/3	
2: large rain volume with continuous flow	0/1	
3: large rain volume with non- continuous flow	24/55	
WBs AT RISK COVERAGE	52%	

#### **EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **INCORPORATION OF EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMMES**

					-		
DIRECTIVE OR DECISION	Site	QE	Frequency	DIRECTIVE OR DECISION	Site	QE	Frequency
DRINKING WATER – 75/440/EEC AS REPLACED BY WFD ANNEX V §1.3.5 FROM 2007 (SURVEILLANCE – ADDITIONAL MONITORING PROGRAMME)	Full	Full	Full	DRINKING WATER – 75/440/EEC AS REPLACED BY WFD ANNEX V §1.3.5 FROM 2007 (SURVEILLANCE – ADDITIONAL MONITORING PROGRAMME)	Full	Full	Full
EXCHANGE OF INFO – 77/795/EEC	Full	Full	Full	EXCHANGE OF INFO – 77/795/EEC	Full	Full	Full
DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES - 76/464/EEC	Full	Full	Full	DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES -76/464/EEC	Full	Full	Full
NITRATES – 91/676/EEC	Full	Full	<b>Partial</b> Proposed 3-4 times per year instead of monthly required	NITRATES – 91/676/EEC	Partial 8 out of 9 MS	Full	Partial Proposed 3-4 times per yea instead of monthly requi
URBAN WASTE WATER TREATMENT – 91/271/EEC FOR THE DESIGNATION OF SENSITIVE ZONES	Full	Full	Full	URBAN WASTE WATER TREATMENT – 91/271/EEC FOR THE DESIGNATION OF SENSITIVE ZONES	Full	Full	Full

#### EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **PROVISIONAL COST IN CP**

	375.125		
TOTAL	224.172 150.953		
SAMPLING	88.658	35.651	
ANALYSIS	135.514	115.302	
	SURVEILLANCE	OPERATIONAL	

	234.974		
TOTAL	121.437 113.537		
SAMPLING	52.773	26.269	
ANALYSIS	68.664	87.268	
	SURVEILLANCE	OPERATIONAL	

#### <u>NOTE</u>

COST DOES NOT INCLUDE THE BUDGET NEEDED FOR NEW INFRASTRUCTURE, WHICH MIGHT BE REQUIRED, SUCH AS NEW FLOWMETER STATIONS

OPERATIONAL MONITORING IS REQUIRED EVERY YEAR OF THE MONITORING CYCLE

SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED ONCE EVERY 6 YEARS OF THE RBMP MONITORING CYCLE

#### INDICATIVE PERSONNEL NEEDED

SAMPLING	15-20
ANALYSIS – INITIAL EVALUATION	2-3 CHEM – 1-2 BIOL**
SENIOR ( FINAL ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT)	2

\*\*BIOL MIGHT BE REQUIRED TO BE PRESENT IN SAMPLING AT LEAST AT THE EARLY STAGES OF PROGRAMME IT IS ESTIMATED THAT COMPARED TO THE EXTENDED AN OVERALL REDUCTION RANGING FROM 15-30% COULD BE ACHIEVED DEPENDING MAINLY ON THE POSSIBILITY OF MANAGING EFFICIENTLY "PEAK DEMAND" PERIODS

EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME	BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME
BQ	Es
<ol> <li>MACROINVERTEBRATES: Proposed – Intercalibration Exercise</li> <li>PHYTOPLANKTON (Chlorophyll – a): Proposed for rivers with lentic character, according to literature and intercalibration results</li> <li>PHYTOBENTHOS (Diatoms): Proposed according to literature</li> <li>MACROPHYTES: Not proposed for WFD monitoring – Research project proposed instead</li> <li>FISH : Not applicable</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MACROINVERTEBRATES: Proposed – Intercalibration Exercise</li> <li>PHYTOPLANKTON (Chlorophyll – a): Not proposed– Research project proposed instead</li> <li>PHYTOBENTHOS (Diatoms): Not proposed– Research project proposed instead</li> <li>MACROPHYTES: Not proposed– Research project proposed instead</li> <li>FISH : Not applicable</li> </ol>

No of LWBs	No of WBs at risk	No of WBs Need further assessment	No of WB types
18	1	4	4

## Methodology of Design

## **INPUT**

WFD requirements, Guidance document no. 7 "Monitoring under the Water Framework Directive", Existing monitoring networks, Special conditions of Cyprus, Article 5&6 reports (Typology, Pressures), information collected for the FCR report



**GENERAL PRINCIPLES:** 

- ✓ WFD REQUIREMENTS
- ✓ REPRESENTATION OF WBs (size, distribution, geografy, etc.)
- ✓ REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES
- ✓ REPRESENTATION OF WB PRESSURES
- ✓ QE SELECTION ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE DIRECTIVE
- ✓ PS THAT ARE DISCHARGED AND OTHER DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES

IN SIGNIFICANT PRESENCE

✓ FREQUENCY AS DEFINED BY WFD AND GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME	BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME		
<ul> <li>FULL REPRESENTATION OF WBs (size, distribution, geografy, etc.)</li> <li>FULL REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES FOR BRACKISH LAKES AND CONNECTED DEEP RESERVOIRS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION OF WBs(size, distribution, geografy, etc.)</li> <li>ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES FOR BRACKISH LAKES AND CONNECTED DEEP RESERVOIRS</li> </ul>		
✓ FULL REPRESENTATION OF "NEED FURTHER ASSESSMENT" AND "AT RISK" WBs			
✓ FOCUSED ON AS MANY OF THE EXISTING MONITORING STATIONS AS POSSIBLE			

- ✓ DUE TO LACK OF BQEs DATA SERIES INTERCALIBRATION SITES WERE ADOPTED
- ✓ QE SELECTION ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE DIRECTIVE <u>EXCEPT THE BQEs</u>
- ✓ 1 BQE EXPECTED TO BE APPLICABLE IN CYPRUS CONDITIONS IS CHOSEN TO BE MONITORED IN FRESH WATER RESERVOIRS AND 2 BQEs IN SALT AND BRACKISH LAKES
- ✓ ALL PS THAT ARE DISCHARGED AND OTHER DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES IN SIGNIFICANT PRESENCE

✓ FREQUENCY ENOUGH TO OBTAIN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS FOR RELIABLE STATISTICAL EVALUATIONS AND EVALUATION OF MEASURES EFFECTIVENESS

	Total	Surveillance	Operational		Total	Surveillance	Operational
No of sites	18 /18 WBs	17 /18 WBs	1 /18 WBs	No of sites	13 /18 WBs	12 /18 WBs	1 /18 WBs

#### EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **REPRESENTATION OF WB TYPES**

#### SURVEILLANCE MONITORING PROGRAMME

TYPES OF WBs		
salt lake	1/1	
brackish lake	5/5	
connected deep reservoir	10/11	
shallow storage basin	1/1	

TYPES OF WBs		
salt lake	1/1	
brackish lake		
connected deep reservoir	9/11	
shallow storage basin	1/1	

Need further assessment coverage

4 OUT OF 4

#### **OPERATIONAL MONITORING PROGRAMME**

TYPES OF W	Bs AT RISK	
salt lake		-
brackish lake		-
connected deep reservoir		1/1
shallow storage basin		-

#### **EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME**

**BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME** 

#### INCORPORATION OF EXISTING MONITORING PROGRAMMES

DIRECTIVE OR DECISION	Site	Quality Element	Frequency
DRINKING WATER – 75/440/EEC AS REPLACED BY WFD ANNEX V §1.3.5 FROM 2007 (SURVEILLANCE – ADDITIONAL MONITORING PROGRAMME)	Full	Full	Full
FRESHWATER FISH DIRECTIVE – 78/659/EEC	Full For LWBs. There are 12 more reservoirs not characterised as LWBs, where monitoring is currently applied	Partial Not all parameters included in Annex of Fish Directive are included	Partial Proposed 3-4 times per year instead of monthly required by Fish Directive
DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES -76/464/EEC	Full	Full	Full

#### EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME

#### **BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME**

#### **PROVISIONAL COST IN CP**

	119.535		
TOTAL	103.592 15.943		
SAMPLING	17.840	2.815	
ANALYSIS	85.752	13.128	
	SURVEILLANCE	OPERATIONAL	

	87.249		
TOTAL	71.306	15.943	
SAMPLING	12.236	2.815	
ANALYSIS	59.070	13.128	
	SURVEILLANCE	OPERATIONAL	

#### <u>NOTE</u>

OPERATIONAL MONITORING IS REQUIRED EVERY YEAR OF THE MONIT<mark>ORING CYCLE</mark>

SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED ONCE ECERY 6 YEARS OF THE RBMP MO<mark>NITORING CYCLE</mark>

#### **INDICATIVE PERSONNEL**

SAMPLING	2-3
ANALYSIS – INITIAL EVALUATION	1 CHEM – 1-2 BIOL**
SENIOR ( FINAL ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT)	1

\*\*BIOL MIGHT BE REQUIRED TO BE PRESENT IN SAMPLING AT LEAST AT THE EARLY STAGES OF PROGRAMME IT IS ESTIMATED THAT AN OVERALL REDUCTION RANGING FROM 15-30% COULD BE ACHIEVED DEPENDING MAINLY ON THE POSSIBILITY OF MANAGING EFFICIENTLY "PEAK DEMAND" PERIODS

	EXTENDED MONITORING PROGRAMME		BASIC MONITORING PROGRAMME		
	BQEs – Fresh	Wate	er Reservoirs		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	PHYTOPLANKTON (Chlorophyll – a): Proposed – Intercalibration Exercise BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES: Not proposed– Research project proposed instead PHYTOBENTHOS: Not proposed– Research project proposed instead MACROPHYTES: Not applicable due to great seasonal variation – Future research project proposed instead FISH : Not applicable		<ol> <li>PHYTOPLANKTON (Chlorophyll – a): Proposed – Intercalibration Exercise</li> <li>BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES: Not proposed – Research project proposed instead</li> <li>PHYTOBENTHOS: Not proposed – Research project proposed instead</li> <li>MACROPHYTES: Not applicable due to great seasonal variation – Future research project proposed instead</li> <li>FISH : Not applicable</li> </ol>		
	BQEs – Salt and b	racki	kish natural lakes		
I. II. IV. V. VI.	PHYTOPLANKTON (Chlorophyll – a): Proposed BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES: Not proposed– Research project proposed instead PHYTOBENTHOS: Not proposed– Research project proposed instead MACROPHYTES: Proposed for the second monitoring cycle FISH : Not applicable Zooplankton: Proposed, not mandatory by WFD – valuable pollution indicator (to be discussed)		<ol> <li>PHYTOPLANKTON (Chlorophyll – a): Proposed</li> <li>BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES: Not proposed– Research project proposed instead</li> <li>PHYTOBENTHOS: Not proposed– Research project proposed instead</li> <li>MACROPHYTES: Proposed for the second monitoring cycle</li> <li>FISH : Not applicable</li> <li>Zooplankton: Proposed, not mandatory by WFD – valuable pollution indicator( to be discussed)</li> </ol>		

## **Issues raised in WG discussions**

10.00

n 11-12 - 149 - 111112-

## **Rivers Key Issues**

Sites in RWBs of surveillance monitoring network with "no flows" because of natural reasons, i.e. western Cyprus (Akamas area)

## **Alternative solutions**

- Install flowmeters in order to collect data
- 2. Move sites in adjacent RWBs (same or different basin) and regroup
- 3. Remove sites

## Analysis:

١.

Solution 2:might lead to a small reduction in sites

Solution 1: safer but more costly

## **Related policy action**

- Review of RWBs network and communicate changes to EU
- Case strengthened by alternative solution 1

## WHEN:

- Summary report (March \_\_\_\_\_ \* 2007)
- End 2008 or
- End 2009

- If no data support is intended
  - If flow data will be available

# II. Sites in RWBs downstream of dams with "no existing flows"

## <u>Surveillance sites</u>

## **Alternative solutions**

- Maintain sites, install flowmeters and collect quality data by sampling when and if water is available
- <u>Advantage:</u> Support case of HMWBs final designation in 2009 (status lower that GOOD is required)
- 2. Remove and replace with upstream (before the dam) sites
- <u>Risk:</u> Possible good or high status no HMWB designation
- Remove sites completely and combine it with review of river and lake WBs – communicate changes to EU

## Analysis

- Solution 1: more consistent with the spirit of WFD
- Solutions 1 and 2: might lead to the necessity of establishing "ecological flow" downstream of the lakes as a measure in the relevant RBMP
- Solution 3: may not be accepted by EU

# Sites in RWBs downstream of dams with "no existing flows" (2)

## <u>eetie IsnoitsregO</u>

## Alternative solutions

- Maintain sites, install flowmeters and collect quality data by sampling when and if water is available
- <u>Advantage:</u> Support case of HMWBs final designation in 2009 (status lower that GOOD is required)
- Remove RWB monitoring sites <u>and</u> add adequate GWB monitoring sites <u>and</u> combine it with review of river and lake WBs – communicate changes to EU
  - Replace sites with upstream (before the dam) sites grouping

## Analysis

## Solution 1:

Ш.

- more consistent with the spirit of WFD
- BQEs unlikely to function .Will most likely lead to lower than GOOD Ecological Quality More costly
- Inclusion in programme of measures and / or less stringent objectives Possible requirement of "ecological flow"
- Solution 2: may not be accepted by EU

Solution 3: inconsistent with existing risk characterisation, grouping possibly not accepted by EU – not suggested

III. Operational sites in RWBs with "no flows" downstream of pollution sources (especially point sources)

## **Alternative solutions**

- Maintain sites, install flowmeters and collect quality data by sampling when and if water is available
- Remove RWB monitoring sites completely <u>and</u> add adequate GWB monitoring site <u>and</u> combine it with review of RWBs designation communicate changes to EU
- 3. Replace sites with upstream (before pressures) sites grouping

## Analysis

Solution 1:

more consistent with the spirit of WFD

can substantiate the "no flows"

Can strengthen the case review of RWBs designation

Solution 2: may not be accepted by EU because of no data support

Solution 3: inconsistent with existing risk characterisation grouping possibly not accepted by EU – <u>not suggested</u>
## IV. Monitoring sites in occupied territories

They will be removed

V. Request for monitoring sites to be placed close to existing flowmeters

Surveillance monitoring sites:

Many will be accommodated Limiting factor: Typology Operational monitoring sites:

Under examination

Limiting factor: Location of pressures (especially point sources)

# **Issues raised in WG discussions**

COMP IN

0000 100

# BQEs – Typology

## **Steps of BQE application** (to be repeated for every BQE)

Sampling

2.

- Physicochemical (same to BQE frequency)
- Hydromorphological (1 in six years)
- Biological
- Data analysis for
  - BQE
  - Hydromorphological
  - physicochemical
- Measurement assessment grading for
  - BQE
  - Hydromorphological
  - Physicochemical
- Assessment of WB EQS for the specific BQE
  - (Combination of biological, hydromorphological and physicochemical metrics and indices)

The lowest EQS grade is adopted for the Ecological Quality grade of the WB - Application of "one out all out" WFD principle -

## Analytical example: BQE in River Water Bodies

- 1. Selection of adequate sampling location(s) for each WFD-RWB sampling station (Takes place once)
  - Depends on the BQE dispersal and habitat characteristics
- 2. Collection of data on different hydromorphological parameters for each RWB sampling station
- 3. Identification of hydromorphological "reference conditions" for each RWB type (Takes place once)
- 4. Assessment of hydromorphological quality according to an integrated quality classification system adapted to local conditions (not available yet)

Steps 2,3 and 4 are to be carried out by experienced personnel having specialist knowledge of plant identification and / or fluvial geomorphology Source of info: European Standard EN 14614:2004

#### Situation in Cyprus

Hydromorphological assessment is being carried out only in 1 type of RWBs (continuous flow), according to British methodology adapted for Italy, <u>Intercalibration programme</u>

• Possible need of further adaptation to Cyprus conditions

Need of further expansion to other RWB types (RWBs with high and low volume intermittent flow)

- 5. Take BQE sample and water sample (physicochemical parameters)
- 6. Lab analysis of samples's BQE parameters

Specialised personnel (biologist with training / experience in the specific BQE) is required

## Analytical example: BQE use in River Water Bodies (2)

- 7. Identification of BQE "reference conditions" for each RWB type
- 8. Assessment of BQE's parameters metrics
  - Assessment BQE method developed for Cyprus conditions required Highly specialised and experienced personnel required
- 9. Assessment of the physicochemical quality
- 10. Combine 4,8,9, as above in order to assess the Ecological Quality Status for this BQE

#### Situation in Cyprus rivers

٠

٠

٠

- Ecological Quality assessment is being carried out only in one type of RWBs (continuous flow), based on only BQE (benthic invertebrates), <u>Intercalibration</u> <u>Programme</u>
  - Possible need of further adaptation development of BQE quality assessment methods to Cyprus conditions
    - Need of further expansion to other RWB types
  - At least one research programme has been proposed on the applicability of two or more BQEs in Cyprus:
    - Macrophytes
    - Phytobenthos (Diatoms)
  - Phytoplankton (chlorophyll-a), has been sampled in the framework of the Intercalibration programme, and seems to be applicable in some cases of RWBs (lentic character, pressure: eutrophication)
  - Phytobenthos (Diatom) samples have been collected in the framework of the Intercalibration programme

## BQE – Typology – RWBs of Cyprus Conclusions

- 1. Cyprus has no significant existing data on its river BQEs
- 2. EQS assessment requires the existence of
  - Hydromorphological quality measurement assessment system
    - BQE measurement assessment system
- 3. Both assessment system require the identification of relevant "reference conditions" for each type of RWBs
- 4. The current Intercalibration programme in rivers covers at present 1 BQE and 1 of the 3 types of RWBs
- 5. Further work might be needed for better adaptation of assessment systems and type reference conditions to the hydrological, geomorphological and ecological conditions of Cyprus (consultation with intercalibration experts)
- 6. Dissemination of intercalibration experience is limited due to lack of personnel

# **Cost and Personnel issues**

TRUKE)

## **Cost assessment**

Extended approach

Basic approach

	EXTENDED APPROACH								
	Analysis		Sampling			Hydro-Morphology			
	Surveill	Operat	Surveill	Operat	Quant	Surveill	Operat	Quant	
rivers	135.514	115.302	88.658	35.651	-	127.400	68.250	-	570.775
lakes	123.366	13.128	36.589	2.815	-	34.850	400	-	211.148
groundwater	187.314	407.184	19.844	35.974	56.502	-	-	8.910	715.728
coastal	85.752	10.014	17.840	1.868	-	3.520	320	-	119.314
Total	531.946	545.628	162.932	76.307	56.502	165.770	68.970	8.910	1.616.965

Total cost of surveillance programme: 860.648 CP

Total cost of operational programme: 756.317 CP

	BASIC APPROACH										
	Analysis			Hydro							
	Surveill	Operat	Surveill	Operat	Quant	Surveill	Operat	Quant			
rivers	68.664	87.268	52.773	26.269	-	97.825	56.875	-	389.674		
lakes	110.256	13.128	29.553	2.815	-	24.600	400	-	180.751		
groundwater	119.781	253.898	13.001	25.221	39.884	-	-	6.110	457.895		
coastal	59.070	10.014	12.236	1.868	-	2.560	0	-	85.748		
Total	357.771	364.308	107.563	56.172	39.884	124.985	57.275	6.110	1.114.069		

Total cost of surveillance programme: 590.319 CP

Total cost of operational programme: 523 7/9 CP

# What is not included in the cost assessment ....

- 1. The cost of additional required infrastructure (e.g. flowmeter, stations, boreholes, etc)
- 2. The cost of intercalibration and other research programmes required for the development of relevant assessment methods for BQEs
- 3. Additional required sampling equipment (not significant)
- 4. The cost of vessel crew participating in coastal monitoring programme

# Personnel

Extended	approa	ach					Basic	appr	oach					
		Rivers – Lakes		Coastal	oastal Groundwater		FOR B		PROA	CH IS E	ESTIMA	TED		
Sampling	Sampling 1		15-20 2-4*		6-10	6-10		THAT COMPARED TO THE EXTENDED AN						
Analysis - initial evaluation		4–5 Chem – 2-3 Biol**		1 Chem – 2 Biol**	1 Chem – 2 Biol** 2 Chem		OVERALL REDUCTION RANGING FROM 15-30% COULD BE ACHIEVED							
Senior (final assessment managemaent)		2-3		1-2	1-2	DEPENDI POSSIBIL "PEAK DI			DING MAINLY ON THE BILITY OF MANAGING EFFICIENTLY DEMAND" PERIODS					
*VESSEL CREV	V IS NOT IN	CLUDED												
**BIOL MIGHT E LEAST AT THE	BE REQUIRE EARLY STA	ED TO BE GES OF	PRESENT IN PROGRAMME	SAMPLING AT							-			
	Chem	ists	Biologist	s Senior staff	Support staff									
Total	otal 7-8		4-5	5-7	23-34									

Note 1: The personnel required depends on the number of BQEs to be included as well as the knowledge and experience disseminated from Intercalibration and other research programmes

Note 1: Finally the personnel required depends on the institutional organisation of WFD monitoring